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## 4-(Phenothiazin-10-yl)benzene-1,2dicarbonitrile

Sema Öztürk," $\dagger$ Şamil Işik, ${ }^{b}$ Hoong-Kun Fun, " Engin Kendi, " Erbil. AG̃ar, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ Selami Şaşmaz ${ }^{d}$ and Abdul
Razak İbrahim"
"X-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti
Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia, "Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Ondokuz Mavis University, Kurupelit, 55139 Samsun, Turkey, 'Department of Engineering Physics, Hacettepe University, Beytepe, 06532 Ankara, Turkey, and "Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Ondokuz Mays University, Kurupelit, 55139 Samsun, Turkey: E-mail: hkfun@usm.my
(Received 25 February 1998: wcepted 28 September 1998)

## Abstract

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$, crystallizes with two molecules in the asymmetric unit. The molecules are non-planar, with a central ring in a boat conformation.
$\dagger$ On leave from: Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences. Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri. Turkey.

The dihedral angle between the least-squares planes of the benzo rings fused to the central ring is $44.6(1)^{\circ}$ in one molecule, and $50.1(1)^{\circ}$ in the other.

## Comment

The title compound, (I), was isolated as a reaction intermediate in the preparation of a phthalocyanine (Şaşmaz \& Ag̃ar, 1999). For many years, phthalocyanines have attracted great interest in various research fields such as chemical sensors, electrochromism, batteries, photodynamic therapy, semiconductive materials, liquid crystals and nonlinear optics (Moser \& Thomas, 1983; Leznoff \& Lever, 1989-1993).


In compound (I) there are two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. In both molecules the bond lengths and angles show normal values and are comparable to 1 -isopropyl-10-methylphenothiazine (Shirley et al., 1984). The benzo and phenyl rings are nearly planar in each case, with a maximum deviation of -0.021 (2) $\AA$ for C19A. In molecule $A$, the folding angle between the least-squares planes of the two benzo


Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) showing $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids.
rings is $44.6(1)^{\circ}$ and they make dihedral angles of $115.0(1)$ and $121.6(1)^{\circ}$ with the substituted phenyl ring; in molecule $B$, these values are 50.1 (1), 112.7 (1) and $119.3(1)^{\circ}$, respectively. The central ring is in a boat conformation and the asymmetry parameters defined by Nardelli (1983) are $q_{2}=0.610(1), q_{3}=0.031(2)$, $Q=0.611(1) \AA, \varphi_{2}=-180.0(2), \theta=87.2(2)^{\circ}$ for molecule $A$ and $q_{2}=0.651$ (2), $q_{3}=0.040(2), Q=$ $0.652(2) \AA, \varphi_{2}=-178.9(2), \theta=86.5(2)^{\circ}$ for molecule $B$. The two independent molecules have almost identical orientations and their centroids are separated by the vector $[0.4597,-0.2581,0.2808$ ].

## Experimental

Thiodiphenylamine ( $11.95 \mathrm{~g}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(100 \mathrm{ml})$ under nitrogen and 4-nitrophthalonitrile $(10.38 \mathrm{~g}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After stirring for 15 min , finely ground anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(12.42 \mathrm{~g}, 90.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise over 2 h with efficient stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen at room temperature for 24 h . Water ( 500 ml ) was then added and the product filtered off, washed with water until the filtrate became neutral, and then washed with cold ethanol and diethyl ether. The yellowish precipitate was crystallized from ethanol by cooling: m.p. 472473 K , yield $56.38 \%$, satisfactory analyses. IR: $3080-3000$ (aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 2220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $7.57-7.12(11 \mathrm{H}, m$, aromatic H$)$.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$
$M_{r}=325.38$
Monoclinic
$P 2$ I/C
$a=16.5433(4) \AA$
$b=16.4583$ (5) $\AA$
$c=12.3847(2) \AA$
$\beta=103.965(1)^{\circ}$
$V=3272.36(14) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.321 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{m}$ not measured

## Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD areadetector diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan using SADABS
(Sheldrick, 1996a)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.158, T_{\text {max }}=0.950$
(effective values, see text)
17082 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.036$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.095$

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 5702
reflections
$\theta=1.5-33.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.202 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Prismatic
$0.96 \times 0.72 \times 0.24 \mathrm{~mm}$
Pale yellow

5739 independent reflections
4471 reflections with
$I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.031$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25^{\circ}$
$h=-23 \rightarrow 25$
$k=-25 \rightarrow 23$
$l=-17 \rightarrow 18$
$S=1.034$
5739 reflections
522 parameters
All H -atom parameters
refined
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\sigma}^{2}\right)+(0.0333 P)^{2}\right.$
$+0.7447 P]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$

Extinction correction: SHELXTL96 (Sheldrick, 1996b)
Extinction coefficient: 0.0111 (6)

Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA{ }^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$

| S1A-C15A | 1.761 (2) | SIB-C14B | 1.764 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIA-C14A | 1.767 (2) | S\|B-C-C15B | 1.768 (2) |
| N1A-C7A | 1.141 (2) | N $1 B-C 7 B$ | 1.147 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1.141 (2) | $\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{Cx} B$ | 1.144 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1.401 (2) | $\mathrm{N} 3 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B$ | 1.404 (2) |
| N3A-C9A | 1.435 (2) | N $3 B-C 9 B$ | 1.435 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 20 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1.439 (2) | $\mathrm{N} 3 B-\mathrm{C} 20 B$ | 1.441 (2) |
| CI5A-SIA-C14A | 98.63 (8) | C14B-S1B-C15B | 97.79 (8) |
| C4A-N3A-C9A | 120.37 (13) | C $4 B-\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C} 9 B$ | 120.58 (1.3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 20 \mathrm{~A}$ | 121.37 (13) | C $4 B-\mathrm{N} 3 B-\mathrm{C} 20 B$ | 121.56 (13) |
| C20A-N3A-C9A-C14A |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 9 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{Cl} 4 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{S} 1 \mathrm{~A}$ |  | -1.3 |  |
| C15A-S1A-C14A-C9A |  | -34.7 |  |
| C14A-S1A-C15A-C20A |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S} \mid A-\mathrm{ClSA}-\mathrm{C} 20 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ |  |  |  |
| C9A--N3A-C20A-C15A |  | -46.9 |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C9B-C14B}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 3 B-\mathrm{C} 9 B-\mathrm{Cl} 4 B-\mathrm{SI} B$ |  | -1.7 |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl} 5 B-\mathrm{S} 18-\mathrm{Cl} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 9 B$ |  | -36.8 |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl} 4 B-\mathrm{S} 18-\mathrm{C} 15 B-\mathrm{C} 208$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S} 1 B-\mathrm{ClSB}-\mathrm{C} 20 B-\mathrm{N} 3 B$ |  | -0.8 |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 9 B-\mathrm{N} 3 B-\mathrm{C} 20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C} 15 B$ |  | -48.2 |  |

Crystals were very brittle and shattered with degradation of quality on attempted cutting, so a large crystal had to be used which exceeded the size of the X-ray beam $(0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ collimator) and led to variation of the effective scattering volume during data collection. The SADABS program corrects for this effect at the same time as for absorption and other frame-scaling factors, and hence generates effective relative transmission factors that do not refer to absorption effects alone. Transmission factors for absorption alone are estimated as $0.83-0.95$.

The data collection covered over a hemisphere of reciprocal space by a combination of three sets of exposures; each set had a different $\varphi$ angle for the crystal and each exposure of 10 s covered $0.3^{\circ}$ in $\omega$. The crystal-to-detector distance was 4 cm and the detector swing angle was $-35^{\circ}$. Coverage of the unique set is over $99 \%$ complete. Crystal decay was monitored by repeating 30 initial frames at the end of data collection and analysing the duplicate reflections, and was found to be negligible. Data with $\theta>25^{\circ}$ were of very low intensity and were discarded.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996). Cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996). Data reduction: SAINT. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1996b). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL. Molecular graphics: SHELXTL. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL and PARST (Nardelli, 1995).
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#### Abstract

In the title molecule, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}$, one of the two cyclohexane rings shows conformational disorder, with both major and minor conformers in half-chair conformations; the other cyclohexane ring adopts a conformation intermediate between sofa and half-chair.

\section*{Comment}

The synthesis and biological evaluation of polycyclic azaarenes (PAA) with bay regions (Pullman \& Pullman,

^[ $\dagger$ On leave from: Department of Physics, Anna University, Chennai 600 025, India. ]


1955) have acquired great importance, due to their presence in the biosphere as serious environmental pollutants (Dong et al., 1977; Schmeltz \& Hoffman, 1977). These compounds reach the environment mainly from energy industries, automobile exhausts, cigarette smoke and the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels. Such PAA's and their oxidative metabolites have been reported to possess mutagenic and/or carcinogenic properties (Jerina, 1986; Wood et al., 1989; Ray \& Kar, 1993). Isolation of such compounds in sufficient amounts and in pure form from environmental sediments is difficult. In order to prepare sufficient material, Ray and his group have been working towards the synthesis and characterization of such compounds (Kar, Karmakar \& Ray, 1989; Kar, Sami \& Ray, 1992; Ray et al., 1996). As a part of this programme, the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), was determined.

(I)

Bond lengths and angles in the title compound agree with those observed in a chloro-derivative of this structure (Ray et al., 1995). One of the cyclohexane rings ( $C$ ) present in the structure shows conformational disorder; both the major and minor conformers adopt half-chair conformations, but in opposite orientations. The conformation of the other cyclohexane ring $(E)$ lies between sofa and half-chair, with asymmetry parameters $\Delta C_{s}(\mathrm{Cl0})=0.050(2)$ and $\Delta C_{2}(\mathrm{Cl} 0-\mathrm{Cl} 5)=0.054(1)$ (Nardelli, 1983). The mean plane through the major conformer of ring $C$ forms dihedral angles of 6.60 (7) and $4.82(7)^{\circ}$, respectively, with the planes through the rings $A, B$ and $D$; the dihedral angle between rings $D$ and


Fig. 1. The structure of the title compound, showing $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. For clarity, only the major ( $80 \%$ ) conformer of ring $C$ is shown.

